

Table S2: Treatment effects by survey round

	numeracy		literacy		total	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A: 2010-2011 sample						
treat	0.326** (0.156)	0.367** (0.140)	0.159 (0.171)	0.223* (0.127)	0.278 (0.165)	0.334** (0.131)
N	716	716	712	712	711	711
Panel B: 2012 sample						
treat	0.216 (0.150)	0.178 (0.145)	0.231 (0.147)	0.193 (0.155)	0.238 (0.153)	0.196 (0.153)
N	540	540	539	539	539	539
Panel C: 2013 sample						
treat	-0.366* (0.188)	-0.395* (0.188)	-0.230 (0.180)	-0.279 (0.187)	-0.346** (0.159)	-0.380** (0.165)
N	499	499	502	502	486	486
Panel D: 2014 sample						
treat	0.234 (0.188)	0.338* (0.148)	0.219 (0.167)	0.277 (0.219)	0.236 (0.156)	0.327* (0.157)
N	106	106	107	107	106	106
Panel E: 2015 sample						
treat	-0.211* (0.120)	-0.197 (0.124)	-0.215 (0.198)	-0.170 (0.190)	-0.223 (0.130)	-0.205 (0.122)
N	191	191	193	193	189	189

Additional controls (in columns 2, 4, and 6) include gender, age, and survey round, and all interactions of these variables. Robust standard errors clustered at parish level.

* p< .1, ** p< .05, *** p< .01